## Case 2:21-cv-00173-JAM-KJN Document 33 Filed 09/29/21 Page 1 of 2

```
1
    Josh H. Escovedo, State Bar No. 284506
    Cameron M. Peyton, State Bar No. 299523
 2
    Anders Bostrom, State Bar No. 332939
    WEINTRAUB TOBIN CHEDIAK COLEMAN GRODIN
 3
    Law Corporation
    400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor
4
    Sacramento, California
     (916) 558-6000 - Main
 5
    (916) 446-1611 - Facsimile
    jescovedo@weintraub.com
6
    cpeyton@weintraub.com
    abostrom@weintraub.com
7
    Attorneys for Defendant Nutrition 53, Inc.
 8
9
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                       EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
     JAH INTERESTS V, LLC, a Texas
                                        ) Case No. 2:21-cv-00173-JAM-KJN
     limited liability company;
13
     JASON HALL, an individual;
                                        ) STIPULATION TO EXTEND NUTRITION
14
                Plaintiff,
                                        ) 53, INC.'S DEADLINE TO ANSWER
                                          FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
15
                                          PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 144
          v.
16
     NUTRITION 53, INC., a
     California corporation; WILLIAM
17
     ROMANOWSKI, an individual; and
     DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,
18
                Defendants.
19
20
21
         On August 30, 2021, the above-captioned Court denied
22
    Defendant Nutrition 53, Inc.'s ("Defendant") motion for a more
23
    definite statement. ECF No. 31. Pursuant to FRCP Rule
24
    12(a)(4)(A), Defendant's deadline to file an answer to
25
    Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint ("FAC") was 14 days following
26
    the Court's denial of its motion, or September 13, 2021.
27
         Plaintiffs and Defendant are currently engaged in
28
    substantive settlement discussions. In the interest of
```

## Case 2:21-cv-00173-JAM-KJN Document 33 Filed 09/29/21 Page 2 of 2

facilitating settlement negotiations, and to conserve client and judicial resources pending those discussions, on September 10, 2021, Plaintiffs agreed that they would not seek a default against Defendant if Defendant held off on answering the FAC until September 27, 2021. On September 27, 2021, as settlement discussions continue, Plaintiffs agreed to formally stipulate that Defendant has until October 11, 2021 to file an answer to the FAC.

Pursuant to Local Rule 144 and the aforementioned stipulation, Defendant's new date to file an answer to the First Amended Complaint is October 11, 2021.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

Dated: September 28, 2021 LAVELY & SINGER PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ David B. Jonelis
David B. Jonelis
California State Bar No. 265235

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
JAH Interests V, LLC and Jason Hall

Dated: September 28, 2021 **Weintraub Tobin** Chediak Coleman Grodin Law Corporation

By: /s/ Josh H. Escovedo

Josh H. Escovedo

California State Bar No. 284506

Attorneys for Defendant Nutrition 53, Inc.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 28, 2021 /s/ John A. Mendez

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Stipulation to Extend Deadline to Respond to First Amended Complaint Case No. 2:21-cv-00173-JAM-KJN